

Δ $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \square \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}$

REMEMBER

"REMEMBER" is ambiguous - is used for both
"storage" + "retrieval" of info.

Δ think $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}$ remember (store)

\square safe $\begin{bmatrix} \square \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}$ remember (retrieve)

Ignoring this ambiguity, I usually just use
 Δ for both senses of "remember"

Δ forget

These are based on Δ gain Δ lose (A person)

Gain Δ is similar to Δ (or Δ) increase (Δ change)

Reading Rupert Sheldrake's The Presence of the Past
last night, I see that he suggests the possibility
that remembering is not a matter of storage +
retrieval at all, but of actually contacting a past
that still in some way exists, as lingering "morphogenetic
fields, I gather.

In line with this conception, $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \\ \Delta \end{bmatrix}$ makes sense for
"remember" because it shows mental connection to the past.
 Δ time Δ past Δ future ~~connect~~ connect (-nect tie ~~x~~).

This demonstrates the expressive versatility of rds.